



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Chapter and Section**

**Page**

**Executive Summary**

**S-1**

S.1 Federal Highway Administration

S-1

S.2 Contacts

S-1

S.3 Project Description/Purpose

S-1

S.4 Other Government Actions

S-2

S.5 Alternatives Considered

S-2

S.6 Preferred Alternative

S-4

S.7 Major Environmental Impacts

S-4

S.8 Areas of Concern

S-6

S.9 Unresolved Issues

S-6

S.10 List of Other Government Actions Required

S-6

S.11 Environmental Commitments

S-7

**Chapter I. Purpose and Need for Action**

**I-1**

**Introduction**

**1-1**

1.1 What is the I-73 project?

1-1

1.1.1 Where is the project located?

1-2

1.1.2 What would the I-73 facility be like?

1-3

1.1.3 Why was the project initiated?

1-3

1.1.4 Who is responsible for this project?

1-7

**Environmental Impacts to be Studied**

**1-8**

1.2 Why study impacts to the environment?

1-9

1.2.1 What type of impacts will be evaluated?

1-9

1.2.2 How are impacts evaluated?

1-9

1.2.2.1 How does FHWA evaluate impacts?

1-9

1.2.2.2 How does USACE evaluate impacts?

1-10

1.2.2.3 How does SCDHEC evaluate impacts?

1-11

**Purpose and Need**

**1-11**

1.3 What is the purpose of I-73 and why do we need the project?

1-11

1.3.1 What are the primary project needs?

1-11

1.3.2 What are the secondary project needs?

1-12



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b><u>Chapter and Section</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
1.3.3 What is system linkage?	1-12
1.3.4 How would this project affect economic development?	1-12
1.3.4.1 <i>Who lives in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland County and what population characteristics shape these counties?</i>	1-14
1.3.4.2 <i>What are some of the social and housing characteristics of Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?</i>	1-16
1.3.4.3 <i>What are the employment characteristics in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?</i>	1-20
1.3.4.4 <i>What are the unemployment rates and poverty levels in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?</i>	1-22
1.3.5 Would this project benefit travel and tourism in the four-county area?	1-27
1.3.6 How would this project increase safety on current roads in the project study area?	1-29
1.3.7 How would the project incorporate multimodal planning?	1-31
<b>Tolls</b>	<b>1-32</b>
1.4 Will I-73 be a Toll Road?	1-32
<b>Construction</b>	<b>1-36</b>
1.5 How would the road be constructed?	1-36
<b>Summary</b>	<b>1-36</b>
<b>Chapter 2. Development of Alternatives</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 How were the alternatives evaluated?	2-1
2.2 What is the Agency Coordination Team?	2-1
2.3 What are the conditions of the No-build Alternative?	2-3
2.4 How were the Preliminary Build Alternatives developed?	2-3
2.4.1 How was the public involved in developing the Preliminary Build Alternatives?	2-10
2.4.2 How were the 1,896 preliminary Build Alternatives evaluated?	2-11
2.5 How were the reasonable Build Alternatives developed?	2-14
2.5.1 How was the public involved in developing the Reasonable Build Alternatives?	2-14



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

2.5.2 How were the Reasonable Alternatives designated?	2-16
2.5.3 How were preliminary interchange locations designated?	2-22
2.5.4 What modifications were made to the Reasonable Build Alternatives based on input?	2-22
2.5.4.1 <i>Alternative 1</i>	2-23
2.5.4.2 <i>Alternative 2</i>	2-26
2.5.4.3 <i>Alternative 3</i>	2-28
2.6 How were the three Reasonable Build Alternatives evaluated to designate the Preferred Alternative?	2-29
2.6.1 How would the Reasonable Build Alternatives meet the primary needs of the project?	2-32
2.6.1.1 <i>How do the reasonable Build Alternatives meet the primary needs of system linkage?</i>	2-32
2.6.1.2 <i>How do the reasonable Build Alternatives meet the primary need of economic development?</i>	2-33
2.6.2 How would the reasonable Build Alternatives meet the secondary needs of the project?	2-37
2.6.2.1 <i>How would the reasonable Build Alternatives improve access for tourism?</i>	2-37
2.6.2.2 <i>How would the reasonable Build Alternatives incorporate multimodal planning?</i>	2-38
2.6.3 How were the reasonable Build Alternatives compared in terms of human and environmental impacts?	2-39
2.6.4 How have the USACE Public Interest Review Factors been addressed?	2-40
2.6.5 How would the reasonable Build Alternative compare in terms of human and environmental impacts?	2-41
2.6.6.1 <i>Alternative 1</i>	2-42
2.6.6.2 <i>Alternative 2</i>	2-43
2.6.6.3 <i>Alternative 3</i>	2-44



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

2.6.7 Which reasonable Build Alternative was designated as the Preferred Alternative?

2-45

## **Chapter 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences**

**3-1**

### **Human Environment**

**3-1**

#### 3.1 Land Use

3-1

3.1.1 What is the existing land use in the project study area?

3-1

3.1.2 What is the agricultural land use in the project study area?

3-2

3.1.3 What are the natural land areas in the project study area?

3-2

3.1.4 What are the residential land uses in the project study area?

3-2

3.1.5 What are the commercial land uses in the project study area?

3-3

*3.1.5.1 Hotel/Motel*

3-4

*3.1.5.2 Industrial*

3-4

*3.1.5.3 Institutional*

3-5

*3.1.5.4 Public & Semi-Public*

3-6

*3.1.5.5 Schools*

3-6

3.1.6 Do the affected counties have plans for development and future growth?

3-7

*3.1.6.1 Dillon County*

3-7

*3.1.6.2 Marlboro County*

3-8

*3.1.6.3 Richmond County*

3-8

3.1.7 What are the trends and key indicators?

3-9

3.1.8 How is land use expected to change in the project study area?

3-10

3.1.9 How would the No-build Alternative affect land development?

3-10

*3.1.9.1 How would overall growth be impacted by the No-build Alternative?*

3-1

*3.1.9.2 How would the No-build Alternative impact development in Dillon County?*

3-12

*3.1.9.3 How would development in Marlboro County be impacted by the No-Build Alternative?*

3-15



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

3.1.9.4 <i>How would the No-build Alternative influence development in Richmond County?</i>	3-15
3.1.10 How would the Build Alternatives impact development in the three-county area?	3-16
3.1.10.1 <i>Would land used for right-of-way be lost for development?</i>	3-16
3.1.10.2 <i>How would development shift as a result of I-73?</i>	3-16
3.1.10.3 <i>Would additional new development occur in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?</i>	3-17
3.1.11 How were land use impacts resulting from the proposed project determined?	3-18
3.1.11.1 <i>How does the proximity to an I-73 interchange affect development?</i>	3-18
3.1.11.2 <i>How does proximity to an existing urban area influence development?</i>	3-18
3.1.11.3 <i>How does proximity to I-95 and/or I-74 impact development?</i>	3-19
3.1.11.4 <i>Do infrastructure and availability of land influence development?</i>	3-19
3.1.11.5 <i>How do new employment opportunities influence development?</i>	3-20
3.1.11.6 <i>Does site suitability play a role in influencing development?</i>	3-20
3.1.11.7 <i>How would development in Dillon County be impacted by the Build Alternatives?</i>	3-20
3.1.11.8 <i>How would the Build Alternatives impact development in Marlboro County?</i>	3-22
3.1.11.9 <i>How would development in Richmond County be impacted by the Build Alternatives?</i>	3-26
3.1.12 What other factors influence growth and development?	3-26
3.1.13 Conclusion	3-27
3.2 Communities	3-29
3.2.1 How many communities compose the project study area?	3-29
3.2.2 What is a community impact assessment?	3-29
3.2.3 How were communities identified within the project study area?	3-30



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

#### **Dillon County**

**3-33**

3.2.4 What are the characteristics of Dillon County?

3-33

3.2.5 How would Dillon County be impacted by the proposed project?

3-36

3.2.6 What are the characteristics of communities located within Dillon County  
and how would they be impacted by the proposed project?

3-37

3.2.6.1 *Bingham*

3-37

3.2.6.2 *Free State*

3-39

3.2.6.3 *Minturn*

3-41

#### **Marlboro County**

**3-44**

3.2.7 What are the characteristics of Marlboro County?

3-44

3.2.8 How would Marlboro County be impacted by the proposed project?

3-46

3.2.9 What are the Characteristics of Cities and Towns located in Marlboro  
County and how would they be impacted by the Build Alternatives?

3-47

3.2.9.1 *Bennettsville*

3-47

3.2.9.2 *Blenheim*

3-52

3.2.9.3 *Clio*

3-56

3.2.9.4 *McColl*

3-59

3.2.9.5 *Tatum*

3-63

3.2.10 What are the characteristics of neighborhoods and communities  
located within Marlboro County?

3-65

3.2.10.1 *Aarons Temple*

3-66

3.2.10.2 *Brightsville*

3-68

3.2.10.3 *Adamsville*

3-72

3.2.10.4 *Dunbar*

3-75

3.2.10.5 *Fletcher*

3-78

3.2.10.6 *Hebron*

3-80

3.2.10.7 *Lester*

3-83

3.2.10.8 *Newtonville*

3-86

3.2.10.9 *Chavistown*

3-89



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b><u>Chapter and Section</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
3.2.10.10 <i>Salem</i>	3-91
<b>Richmond County</b>	<b>3-94</b>
3.2.11 What are the characteristics of Richmond County?	3-94
3.2.12 How would Richmond County be impacted by the proposed project?	3-95
3.2.13 What are the characteristics of Hamlet and how would it be impacted?	3-95
<b>Scotland County</b>	<b>3-98</b>
3.2.14 What are the characteristics of Scotland County and how would it be impacted?	3-98
3.2.15 How would Scotland County be impacted by the proposed project?	3-99
<b>Considerations for Bicyclists and Pedestrians</b>	<b>3-99</b>
3.2.16 What considerations have been analyzed relating to bicyclists and pedestrians?	3-99
<b>3.3 Environmental Justice</b>	<b>3-103</b>
3.3.1 What is Environmental Justice?	3-103
3.3.2 Are there minority populations in the project study area?	3-105
3.3.3 Are there low-income populations in the project study area?	3-105
3.3.4 How were potential environmental justice impacts evaluated?	3-106
3.3.5 Are there any minority and low-income populations impacted?	3-109
3.3.6 What other methods were used to consider impacts to environmental justice populations in the project study area?	3-111
3.3.6.1 <i>Relocations</i>	3-111
3.3.6.2 <i>Community Cohesion</i>	3-113
3.3.6.3 <i>Economic Impacts</i>	3-113
3.3.6.4 <i>Access and Mobility</i>	3-113
3.3.6.5 <i>Noise</i>	3-114
3.3.6.6 <i>Visual and Aesthetic Character</i>	3-114
3.3.6.7 <i>Parks and Community Facilities</i>	3-114



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

3.3.7	What efforts have been made to ensure full and fair participation of environmental justice populations in the transportation decision-making process?	3-114
3.3.8	Summary	3-115
3.4	Section 4(f) Resources	3-116
3.4.1	What is Section 4(f)?	3-116
3.4.2	What parks, recreational facilities, and wildlife/waterfowl refuges are found in the project study area?	3-117
3.4.3	Would the Build Alternatives impact Section 4(f) parks or recreational facilities?	3-117
3.5	Section 6(f) Resources	3-118
3.6	Historic Resources	3-119
3.6.1	What are historic resources?	3-119
3.6.2	How was the historic resources survey conducted?	3-120
3.6.3	What above-ground historic resources were found during the survey?	3-120
3.6.4	What would be the potential impacts to historic resources?	3-120
3.6.5	What known archaeological resources are within the project study area?	3-125
3.6.6	What is the potential for archaeological resources being found in the right-of-way of the Build Alternatives?	3-125
3.6.7	What are the potential impacts to historic resources under Section 4(f)?	3-126
3.7	Hazardous Materials	3-126
3.7.1	What is a hazardous material?	3-126
3.7.2	Are there any potentially contaminated sites located within the project study area?	3-127
3.7.3	Would the Build Alternatives impact potentially contaminated sites in the project study area?	3-127
3.7.3.1	<i>Alternative 1</i>	3-128
3.7.3.2	<i>Alternative 2</i>	3-129
3.7.3.3	<i>Alternative 3</i>	3-129



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b><u>Chapter and Section</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
3.8 Noise	3-131
3.8.1 What is noise?	3-131
3.8.2 How are noise impacts estimated?	3-131
3.8.3 How was background noise determined in the project study area?	3-132
3.8.4 How was TNM tested to ensure accuracy?	3-133
3.8.5 What are the anticipated noise impacts for the proposed alternative?	3-135
3.8.6 What happens when impacts occur and can impacts be mitigated?	3-137
3.8.6.1 <i>No-build Alternative</i>	3-138
3.8.6.2 <i>Highway Alignment</i>	3-138
3.8.6.3 <i>Traffic System Management Measures</i>	3-138
3.8.6.4 <i>Noise Barriers</i>	3-138
3.9 Air Quality	3-141
3.9.1 How is air quality measured?	3-141
3.9.2 What are the potential air quality issues associated with a transportation project?	3-141
3.9.3 Would air quality be impacted by the proposed project?	3-149
3.10 Farmlands	3-152
3.10.1 Why is farmland an important consideration?	3-152
3.10.2 How is farmland protected?	3-153
3.10.3 What are the different types of protected farmland?	3-154
3.10.4 What are the types and amounts of farmland in the project study area?	3-154
3.10.5 What types of soils are in the project study area?	3-154
3.10.6 What are the typical farm sizes in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?	3-154
3.10.7 How would the No-build alternative directly impact farmlands?	3-158
3.10.8 How would the Build Alternatives directly impact farmlands?	3-158
3.10.9 What would be the potential indirect and cumulative impacts on farmlands?	3-161
3.10.9.1 <i>How would development that is expected to occur with the No-build                     Alternative impact farmlands?</i>	3-162



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

3.10.9.2	<i>What would be the potential impacts from induced development on farmland by the Build Alternatives?</i>	3-163
3.10.9.3	<i>What would be the potential cumulative impacts on farmland from the Build Alternatives?</i>	3-163
3.10.10	What Federal/USDA farmland programs are active or found in the project study area and how would they be impacted by the Build Alternatives?	3-164
3.10.10.1	<i>Conservation Reserve Program</i>	3-164
3.10.10.2	<i>Farm and Ranch Lands Protection Program</i>	3-167
3.10.10.3	<i>Wetlands Reserve Program</i>	3-167
3.11	Uplands	3-167
3.11.1	What are uplands?	3-167
3.11.2	Why are uplands important?	3-167
3.11.3	How were uplands identified for this project?	3-168
3.11.4	What upland natural community types were identified within the study area?	3-169
3.11.4.1	<i>Mesic mixed hardwood forest</i>	3-169
3.11.4.2	<i>Oak-hickory forest (Dry or Dry-mesic oak-hickory forest)</i>	3-170
3.11.4.3	<i>Pine flatwoods</i>	3-170
3.11.4.4	<i>Uplands pine-wiregrass woodland</i>	3-171
3.11.4.5	<i>Xeric sandhill scrub</i>	3-171
3.11.4.6	<i>Pine-scrub oak sandhill</i>	3-172
3.11.4.7	<i>Agricultural fields and timberlands</i>	3-173
3.11.4.8	<i>Developed areas</i>	3-173
3.11.4.9	<i>Drained bottomland hardwoods</i>	3-173
3.11.5	How would upland communities be impacted?	3-173
3.12	Wetlands	3-176
3.12.1	What are wetlands?	3-176
3.12.2	Why are wetlands important?	3-176
3.12.3	How were wetland identified for this project?	3-176



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

3.12.4 What wetland types were identified along the alternatives?	3-178
3.12.4.1 <i>Aquatic beds</i>	3-180
3.12.4.2 <i>Bay forests</i>	3-180
3.12.4.3 <i>Bottomland hardwoods</i>	3-181
3.12.4.4 <i>Deciduous shrub swamps</i>	3-181
3.12.4.5 <i>Evergreen shrub bogs/pocosins</i>	3-182
3.12.4.6 <i>Freshwater marshes</i>	3-183
3.12.4.7 <i>Pine savannahs and wet flatwoods</i>	3-183
3.12.4.8 <i>Ponds and borrow pits</i>	3-184
3.12.4.9 <i>Rivers and canals</i>	3-184
3.12.4.10 <i>Savannahs and wet meadows</i>	3-185
3.12.4.11 <i>Wooded swamps</i>	3-185
3.12.5 How many acres of wetland would be impacted by the project?	3-186
3.12.6 What kind of impacts would occur in streams as a result of the project?	3-186
3.12.7 How much stream and what kind of impact would result from the project?	3-190
3.12.8 What indirect impacts to wetlands and streams would occur as the result of the project?	3-193
3.12.9 What would cumulative impacts be to wetlands and streams in the project study area?	3-194
3.12.10 What is mitigation?	3-196
3.12.11 What was done to avoid and minimize wetland and stream impacts?	3-197
3.12.11.1 <i>Avoidance</i>	3-197
3.12.11.2 <i>Minimization</i>	3-198
3.12.12 How will compensation be determined for wetland and stream impacts?	3-200
3.12.12.1 <i>Wetlands</i>	3-202
3.12.12.2 <i>Streams</i>	3-202
3.13 Invasive Species	3-204
3.13.1 What are invasive plant species?	3-204
3.13.2 What is FHWA policy on invasive species?	3-204



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

3.13.3	What are FHWA recommendations regarding invasive species?	3-204
3.13.4	What invasive plant species occur within the project study area?	3-205
3.13.5	How do invasive plants negatively impact the land?	3-206
3.13.6	How would actions from the proposed project create impacts from invasive plant species?	3-206
3.13.7	What measures have been successful in preventing and/or controlling the spread of invasive plant species?	3-207
3.14	Federally Protected Species	3-207
3.14.1	What are federally protected species?	3-207
3.14.2	Which federally protected species may be found in the project study area?	3-207
3.14.3	What has been done to avoid impacts to federally protected species on this project?	3-208
3.14.4	How could federally protected species be affected by the proposed project?	3-209
3.14.4.1	<i>Rough-leaved loosestrife</i>	3-210
3.14.4.2	<i>Canby's dropwort</i>	3-211
3.14.4.3	<i>Michaux's sumac</i>	3-211
3.14.4.4	<i>American chaffseed</i>	3-212
3.14.4.5	<i>Bald eagle</i>	3-214
3.14.4.6	<i>Red-cockaded woodpecker</i>	3-215
3.14.4.7	<i>American alligator</i>	3-216
3.14.4.8	<i>Shortnose sturgeon</i>	3-217
3.14.4.9	<i>Carolina heelsplitter</i>	3-218
3.14.5	What would happen if a federally protected species was affected by the proposed project?	3-219
3.14.6	What would indirect and cumulative impacts to federally protected species be?	3-219
3.15	State Species of Concern	3-221
3.16	Wildlife	3-221



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

3.16.1	What types of wildlife habitat are found in the project study area?	3-221
3.16.2	What species are typically found in upland habitats within the project study area?	3-229
3.16.2.1	<i>Mesic mixed hardwood forests</i>	3-229
3.16.2.2	<i>Oak-hickory forest</i>	3-229
3.16.2.3	<i>Pine flatwoods</i>	3-230
3.16.2.4	<i>Xeric sandhill scrubs, Pine-scrub oak sandhill, and Upland pine-wiregrass woodland</i>	3-231
3.16.2.5	<i>Disturbed areas</i>	3-231
3.16.3	What species are generally found in wetland habitats within the project study area?	3-233
3.16.3.1	<i>Aquatic beds</i>	3-233
3.16.3.2	<i>Bottomland hardwoods</i>	3-234
3.16.3.3	<i>Deciduous shrub swamps</i>	3-234
3.16.3.4	<i>Bay forests and evergreen shrub bogs/pocosins</i>	3-235
3.16.3.5	<i>Freshwater marsh</i>	3-236
3.16.3.6	<i>Ponds and borrow pits</i>	3-236
3.16.3.7	<i>Rivers and canals</i>	3-237
3.16.3.8	<i>Pine savannahs and wet flatwoods &amp; savannahs and wet meadows</i>	3-238
3.16.3.9	<i>Wooded swamps</i>	3-239
3.16.4	How would wildlife and their habitat be impacted by this project?	3-240
3.16.5	What impacts would occur to wildlife from construction?	3-243
3.16.6	What can be done to minimize impacts to wildlife?	3-243
3.16.7	What indirect and cumulative impacts would occur to wildlife?	3-243
3.16.8	What are migratory birds?	3-247
3.16.9	Which migratory birds potentially occur in the project study area?	3-248
3.16.10	What potential impacts to migratory birds do roadways cause?	3-248



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

3.17 Groundwater Resources	3-251
3.17.1 What are the groundwater resources in the project study area?	3-251
3.17.2 How would groundwater resources be impacted by the proposed project?	3-254
3.18 Surface Waters	3-255
3.18.1 What drainage basin is the proposed project located?	3-255
3.18.2 Surface waters designated in the project study area	3-255
3.18.3 Drinking water sources in the project study area	3-257
3.18.4 How is surface water quality evaluated?	3-258
3.18.5 What are the surface water quality conditions in the Pee Dee River Sub-basin and the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Sub-basin 03-07-06?	3-262
3.18.5.1 <i>Watershed Units which Drain into the Great Pee Dee River</i>	3-263
3.18.5.2 <i>Watershed Units which Drain into the Little Pee Dee River</i>	3-266
3.18.7 What are the potential impacts to water quality?	3-268
3.18.7.1 <i>No-Build Alternative</i>	3-268
3.18.7.2 <i>Alternative 1</i>	3-269
3.18.7.3 <i>Alternative 2</i>	3-270
3.18.7.4 <i>Alternative 3</i>	3-271
3.18.8 How much pollutant would runoff into streams in the project study area as a result of the Build Alternatives?	3-271
3.18.9 What best management practices and measures would be used to minimize the amount of runoff pollution into streams?	3-275
3.18.10 What are the cumulative impacts to water quality?	3-276
3.19 Floodplains	3-278
3.19.1 What is a floodplain?	3-278
3.19.2 What agencies regulate floodplains?	3-278
3.19.3 How were the floodplain boundaries determined for this study?	3-279
3.19.4 What floodplains are located within the project study area?	3-279
3.19.5 What direct impacts would there be to floodplains?	3-279



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

3.20 Wild and Scenic Rivers	3-283
3.21 Resources Affected Uniformly	3-284
3.21.1 How would coastal zone resources be affected?	3-284
3.21.1.1 <i>Coastal Zone Resources</i>	3-284
3.21.1.2 <i>Coastal Barrier Resources</i>	3-285
3.21.2 How would energy be consumed by the project?	3-285
3.21.2.1 <i>Energy consumption during construction</i>	3-285
3.21.2.2 <i>Energy consumption during the operation of the facility</i>	3-286
3.21.2.3 <i>Conservation potential of the project</i>	3-286
3.21.2.4 <i>Estimated statewide energy consumption savings with the Build Alternatives</i>	3-286
3.22 Permits	3-287
3.22.1 Section 404 of the Clean Water Act	3-287
3.22.2 Section 401 Water Quality	3-287
3.22.3 Section 402 of the Clean Water Act	3-287
3.22.4 Construction in State Navigable Waters	3-288
3.22.5 Stormwater Management and Sediment Reduction Act of 1991	3-288
3.23 Short-term uses versus long-term productivity	3-289
<b>Chapter 4. Public Involvement and Agency Coordination</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>Public Involvement</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 How was the public engaged in the project?	4-1
4.2 What happened at the Public Scoping Meetings?	4-2
4.3 What took place at the Public Information Meetings?	4-3
4.4 How did the FHWA and SCDOT reach out to communities?	4-4
4.5 What other meetings took place?	4-5
4.5.1 Community Information Meetings	4-5
4.5.2 Other Meetings	4-6
4.6 What other forms of information were available to the public?	4-6
4.7 How were local governments and leadership involved?	4-8



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Chapter and Section

### Page

<b>Agency Involvement and Coordination</b>	<b>4-10</b>
4.8 What is the Agency Coordination Team?	4-10
4.9 How did the ACT contribute to the project?	4-11
4.10 Were there any meetings with agencies prior to the formation of the ACT?	4-12
4.11 Who participated in the ACT meetings and contributed to the project?	4-12
4.12 When did the ACT meetings occur and what happened at the meetings?	4-12
4.12.1 October 19, 2005 ACT Meeting	4-12
4.12.2 December 15, 2005 ACT Meeting	4-14
4.12.3 January 10, 2006 CAT Workshop	4-15
4.12.4 January 19, 2006 ACT Meeting	4-15
4.12.5 February 10, 2006 Meeting with SCDHEC-OCRM	4-15
4.12.6 February 23, 2006 Meeting with SCDAH	4-15
4.12.7 March 2, 2006 ACT Meeting	4-16
4.12.8 March 14, 2006 Meeting with SCDAH	4-16
4.12.9 April 19, 2006 ACT Meeting	4-16
4.12.10 July 13, 2006 ACT Meeting	4-16
4.12.11 August 30, 2006 ACT Meeting	4-17
4.12.12 September 13-14, 2006 Field Trip	4-17
4.12.13 September 28, 2006 ACT Meeting	4-17
4.12.14 November 2, 2006 ACT Meeting	4-18
4.12.15 January 18, 2007 ACT Meeting	4-18
4.12.16 February 22, 2007 ACT Meeting	4-19
4.12.17 May 9, 2007 ACT Meeting	4-19
4.13 How were the North Carolina agencies involved in the project?	4-19
4.13.1 October 13, 2005 Meeting	4-19
4.13.2 February 23, 2006 Meeting	4-20
4.13.3 July 20, 2006 Meeting	4-20
4.13.4 December 6, 2006 Meeting	4-20
4.13.5 June 14, 2007 Meeting	4-20



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b><u>Chapter and Section</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
<b>Stakeholder Working Group Involvement</b>	<b>4-21</b>
4.14 What was the Stakeholder Working Group?	4-21
4.15 What happened at the Stakeholder Working Group Meetings?	4-21
<b>Tribal Involvement</b>	<b>4-21</b>
4.16 How was Tribal Consultation handled for this project?	4-21
<b>Chapter 5. List of Preparers</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1 Federal Highway Administration	5-1
5.2 South Carolina Department of Transportation	5-1
5.3 The LPA Group, Incorporated	5-2
5.4 Wilbur Smith Associates	5-3
5.5 Adobe Palm Communications, LLC	5-4
5.6 Brockington and Associates, Inc.	5-4
5.7 Civil Engineering Consulting Services, Inc.	5-5
5.8 Environmental Planning Strategies, Inc.	5-5
5.9 The Grant Group, Inc.	5-5
5.10 OLH International	5-5
5.11 P.J. Noble & Associates, Inc.	5-5
5.12 Press and Potter, LLC	5-6
<b><u>Index</u></b>	<b>I-1</b>
<b><u>List of Acronyms</u></b>	<b>A-1</b>
<b><u>Appendices</u></b>	
<b>A Resolutions &amp; Correspondence with Local Governments</b>	
<b>B Agency Letters</b>	
<b>C Corridor Analysis Tool</b>	
<b>D Memorandum HEPN-10</b>	
<b>E Comprehensive List of Wildlife Species</b>	
<b>F Correspondence with Native Americans</b>	



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Tables

### Page

#### **Executive Summary**

S.1 Three Reasonable Alternatives Matrix S-5

#### **Chapter 1. Purpose and Need for Action**

1.1 Project Study Area Population Growth 1-14

1.2 Project Study Area Population Forecasts, 2000-2030 Population Forecasts,  
In Thousands 1-15

1.3 Demographic Composition of Communities in the Project Study Area 1-17

1.4 Demographic Characteristics of Counties in the Project Area 1-18

1.5 Housing Characteristics of Counties in the Project Study Area 1-19

1.6 Project Area Educational Attainment Levels 1-19

1.7 Project Study Area Job Training/Adult Education Options 1-20

1.8 Top Employers in Dillon and Marlboro Counties 1-24

1.9 Top Employers in Richmond and Scotland Counties 1-25

1.10 2030 Estimated Reduction in Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes 1-30

#### **Chapter 2. Development of Alternatives**

2.1 Alternative Evaluation Criteria 2-2

2.2 Agencies Contacted Regarding GIS Data 2-6

2.3 Available GIS Layers for CAT Program 2-7

2.4 Six Preliminary Alternatives Matrix 2-18

2.5 Alternatives Considered by the ACT 2-22

2.6 Three Reasonable Alternatives Matrix 2-29

2.7 Minimum Trip Time Between I-95 and U.S. Route 74 in Year 2030 2-33

2.8 Economic Impact Summary in 2035 from Travel Efficiencies  
(Alternatives Compared to No-build) 2-33

2.9 Strategic Development GRP Impact from 2015-2035 (Alternatives  
Compared to No-build) 2-35

2.10 Strategic Development Employment Increases by Alternative and County  
(Permanent Full-Time Jobs) 2-36

2.11 Strategic Development Annual Income Increases by Alternative and  
County (Millions of Dollars) 2-36

2.12 Economic Impact Summary in 2030 by Alternative 2-37

2.13 Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) and Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT) in  
Network for Alternatives using Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes  
(Year 2030) 2-38



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Tables

### Page

2.14 Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) and Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT) in Network for Alternatives using Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes with I-73 Traffic Removed (Year 2030)	2-39
---	------

2.15 USACE Public Interest Review Factors	2-41
---	------

### **Chapter 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences**

3.1 Projected Population Growth by County, 2000 to 2030	3-10
3.2 No-build Alternative Summary of Land Use Requirements in Acres	3-12
3.3 No-build Alternative, Detailed Land Use Requirements in Acres	3-15
3.4 Proposed I-73 Interchanges Along Each Alternative by Census Tract	3-19
3.5 Total Shift in Anticipated Development Resulting from Build Alternatives by Census Tract (in acres of new development)	3-21
3.6 Anticipated Development Resulting from Build Alternatives by Census Tract (in acres of new development)	3-22
3.7 Total Growth by 2030 including Impact of Build Alternatives by Census Tract (in acres of new development)	3-25
3.8 Total Impacts to Communities in Project Study Area by Alternative	3-32
3.9 Demographic Characteristics of Communities in Project Study Area	3-35
3.10 Economic Characteristics of Communities in Project Study Area	3-36
3.11 Churches in Bennettsville	3-48
3.12 Projected 2030 Development within Communities in Project Study Area, in acres	3-52
3.13 Summary of Direct Impacts by Alternative for Communities in Project Study Area	3-100
3.14 2000 USHHS Poverty Thresholds	3-102
3.15 Minority Population, 2000	3-104
3.16 Low-income Population, 2000	3-106
3.17 Total Number of Block Groups with EJ Populations	3-106
3.18 Block Groups Impacted by Build Alternatives	3-108
3.19 Community and Block Group Relocations	3-110
3.20 Public Recreational Facilities, Parks, and Wildlife/Waterfowl Refuges Located in Project Study Area	3-116
3.21 NRHP Sites in the Project Study Area	3-119
3.22 Archaeological Predictive Model: High Probability Acreage	3-123



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Tables

### Page

3.23 Summary of Hazardous Material Sites Identified within the Project Study Area	3-125
3.24 Hazardous Materials and Waste Sites Potentially Impacted by Alternative	3-126
3.25 Common Noises and dB Levels	3-132
3.26 FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria	3-133
3.27 Ambient Noise Levels	3-134
3.28 Noise Model Vehicular Data	3-135
3.29 Approximate Distance to NAC Contours For Existing, Future No-Build, Future Build	3-137
3.30 Approximate Distance to NAC Contour (feet)	3-137
3.31 Noise Impacts Based on GIS Analysis	3-138
3.32 Criteria Pollutants Measured Under the NAAQS	3-142
3.33 Top Crops Grown in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties in 2002, in acres	3-153
3.34 Summary of Prime and Statewide Importance Farmland Soils in the Project Study Area	3-155
3.35 Prime Farmland Soil Types in Project Study Area, by county	3-156
3.36 Types of Soils of Statewide Importance in Project Study Area, by county	3-157
3.37 Agriculture Land Use in Four-county Area in 2002	3-158
3.38 NRCS Farmland Conversion Evaluation Point Total by Alternative	3-159
3.39 Prime Total and Statewide Important Total Soils by Acres	3-160
3.40 Divided Farmland Parcels in the Project Study Area by Acres	3-161
3.41 Impacts from Induced Development on Prime and Farmland of Statewide Importance Soils in the Project Study Area by Alternative in Acres	3-162
3.42 Cumulative Impacts to Prime and Farmland of Statewide Importance Soils in the Project Study Area by Alternative in Acres	3-163
3.43 Land Enrolled in Federal Conservation Programs by Acres	3-165
3.44 Impacts to Land in the Conservation Reserve Program	3-165
3.45 Potential Agricultural and Developed Land Impacts in Acres	3-174
3.46 Potential Natural Forested Upland Community Impacts in Acres	3-174
3.47 Potential Wetland Impacts in Acres and Wetland Values	3-188
3.48 Existing Stream/Riparian Wetland Crossings	3-191



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Tables

	<b>Page</b>
3.49 Potential Stream Impacts	3-192
3.50 Potential Indirect Wetland Acres and Stream Impacts	3-193
3.51 Potential Total I-73 Wetland Impacts Relative to Study Area	
Wetlands (Acres)	3-195
3.52 Federally Protected Species in Dillon County and Marlboro County, South Carolina, and Richmond County and Scotland County, North Carolina	3-208
3.53 State Listed Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Known to Occur in Dillon County and Marlboro County, South Carolina, and Richmond County and Scotland County, North Carolina	3-222
3.54 Undisturbed Upland and Wetland Habitats in Project Study Area	3-229
3.55 Potential Wildlife Habitat Impacts in Acres	3-241
3.56 Relative Roadway Effects on Habitats	3-242
3.57 Potential Indirect Wildlife Habitat Impacts in Acres	3-245
3.58 Potential Cumulative Wildlife Habitat Impacts in Acres	3-246
3.59 Migratory Bird Species Potentially Found within the Project Study Area	3-249
3.60 Sub-basins, Watershed Units, and Major Streams in Project Study Area	3-256
3.61 Water Service Providers in the Project Study Area	3-257
3.62 SCDHEC's Use Support Determination System	3-259
3.63 NCDENR's Use Support Determination System for Class C Waters	3-261
3.64 303(d) List of Impaired Streams within Project Study Area	3-255
3.65 Stream/Ditches Impacted by Predicted Development in the Project Study Area	3-269
3.66 Stream/Ditch Crossings by Alternative	3-270
3.67 Pollutant Discharge in Pounds	3-272
3.68 Anticipated Amount of New Impervious Surfaces by Induced Development in the Project Study Area	3-274
3.69 National Flood Insurance Program Regulated Floodplains Located within the Project Study Area	3-280
3.70 Floodplain Crossings Locations and Impact Areas	3-282
<b>Chapter 4. Public Involvement and Agency Coordination</b>	
4.1 Community Information Meetings	4-5
4.2 Other Public Meetings	4-7
4.3 Summary of Letters and Resolutions Received for Proposed Project	4-9



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Tables

### Page

4.4 Agency Coordination Team Members	4-13
4.5 ACT Meetings and Attendance for Northern I-73 Project	4-14
4.6 Tribal Consultation Process	4-23

### Figures

### Page

## **Chapter 1. Purpose and Need for Action**

1-1 Interstate Corridor	1-1
1-2 I-73 Project Study Area	1-3
1-3 I-73 Interim 4 Lanes	1-5
1-4 I-73 Ultimate 6 Lanes	1-6
1-5 Project Study Area Counties	1-13
1-6 Population Density of the Project Study Area	1-14
1-7 Median Household Income of the Project Study Area	1-16
1-8 Percent Unemployed within Project Study Area	1-22
1-9 Population Below Poverty Level	1-26

## **Chapter 2. Development of Alternatives**

2-1 All Preliminary Build Segments	2-9
2-2 Preliminary Build Alternatives	2-12
2-3 Six Preliminary Build Alternatives	2-13
2-4 Potential Interchange Design for Preliminary Build Alternatives 1 and 2	2-17
2-5 Potential Interchange Design for Preliminary Build Alternative 6	2-18
2-6 Potential Interchange Design for Preliminary Build Alternatives 3, 4, and 5	2-19
2-7 Reasonable Build Alternative 1	2-22
2-8 Reasonable Build Alternative 2	2-25
2-9 Reasonable Build Alternative 3	2-26
2-10 Alternative 1	2-42
2-11 Alternative 2	2-43
2-12 Alternative 3	2-44

## **Chapter 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences**

3-1 Industrial Parks within Project Study Area	3-5
3-2 Alternative 1 Year 2030 Potential Land Use Change Areas	3-13
3-3 I-73 Census Tract Map	3-14
3-4 Alternative 2 Year 2030 Potential Land Use Change Areas	3-23



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **Figures**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
3-5 Alternative 3 Year 2030 Potential Land Use Change Areas	3-24
3-6 Communities Evaluated by Community Impact Assessment	3-31
3-7 Community Boundaries	3-34
3-8 Dillon County	3-33
3-9 Community Populations in Project Study Area	3-33
3-10 Bingham	3-37
3-11 Free State	3-39
3-12 Minturn	3-41
3-13 Marlboro County	3-45
3-14 Bennettsville	3-47
3-15 Blenheim	3-53
3-16 Clio	3-56
3-17 McColl	3-60
3-18 Tatum	3-63
3-19 Aarons Temple	3-66
3-20 Brightsville	3-69
3-21 Adamsville	3-72
3-22 Dunbar	3-75
3-23 Fletcher	3-78
3-24 Hebron	3-81
3-25 Lester	3-83
3-26 Newtonville	3-86
3-27 Chavistown	3-89
3-28 Salem	3-92
3-29 Richmond County	3-94
3-30 Hamlet	3-96
3-31 Scotland County	3-99
3-32 Environmental Justice Block Groups Impacted by the Alternatives	3-107
3-33 Location of Lake Paul Wallace	3-117
3-34 Known Sites on the National Register of Historic Places	3-122
3-35 Location of Resource 0918	3-123
3-36 Location of the McLaurin House	3-124
3-37 Noise Impacts	3-139
3-38 Land Enrolled in Conservation Reserve Program	3-166



## **Table of Contents**

### **Figures**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
3-39 Wetlands, Streams, and Floodplains	3-179
3-40 Potential Roadway Impacts to Wildlife Habitat	3-244
3-41 Groundwater aquifers	3-252
3-42 Potentially Impacted Watershed Units	3-265
3-43 Projects within the Pee Dee Basin	3-276
3-44 State Scenic Rivers in Project Study Area	3-284

### **Charts**

## **Chapter 1. Purpose and Need for Action**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
1.1 Past and Projected Population: 1970-2030	1-15
1.2 2000 Median Household Income	1-18
1.3 Total Employment by County	1-21
1.4 Dillon County Employment by Industry	1-21
1.5 Marlboro County Employment by Industry	1-22
1.6 Richmond County Employment by Industry	1-22
1.7 Scotland County Employment by Industry	1-23
1.8 2002 to 2006 Project Study Area Unemployment Levels (by Percent)	1-23
1.9 Percent Below Poverty Level in 2000	1-26

## **Chapter 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences**

3.1 U.S. Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) vs. Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions, 2000 to 2020	3-145
3.2 Total acres of Farmland in Project Study Area	3-159