



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary	S-1
S.1 Federal Highway Administration	S-1
S.2 Contacts	S-1
S.3 Project Description/Purpose	S-1
S.4 Other Government Actions	S-2
S.5 Alternatives Considered	S-3
S.6 Preferred Alternative	S-4
S.7 Major Environmental Impacts	S-6
S.8 Areas of Concern	S-6
S.9 Unresolved Issues	S-6
S.10 List of Other Government Actions Required	S-7
S.11 Project Commitments	S-8
Chapter I. Purpose and Need for Action	I-1
Introduction	1-1
1.1 What is the I-73 project?	1-1
1.1.1 Where is the project located?	1-3
1.1.2 What would the I-73 facility be like?	1-3
1.1.3 Why was the project initiated?	1-4
1.1.4 Who is responsible for this project?	1-7
Environmental Impacts to be Studied	1-8
1.2 Why study impacts to the environment?	1-8
1.2.1 What type of impacts will be evaluated?	1-9
1.2.2 How are impacts evaluated?	1-10
1.2.2.1 How does FHWA evaluate impacts?	1-10
1.2.2.2 How does USACE evaluate impacts?	1-10
1.2.2.3 How does SCDHEC evaluate impacts?	1-11
Purpose and Need	1-11
1.3 What is the purpose of I-73 and why do we need the project?	1-11



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.3.1 What are the primary project needs?	1-12
1.3.2 What are the secondary project needs?	1-12
1.3.3 What is system linkage?	1-12
1.3.4 How would this project affect economic development?	1-13
1.3.4.1 <i>Who lives in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties, and what population characteristics shape these counties?</i>	1-14
1.3.4.2 <i>What are some of the social and housing characteristics of Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?</i>	1-18
1.3.4.3 <i>What are the employment characteristics in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?</i>	1-20
1.3.4.4 <i>What are the unemployment rates and poverty levels in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?</i>	1-26
1.3.5 Would this project benefit travel and tourism in the four-county area?	1-28
1.3.6 How would this project increase safety on current roads in the project study area?	1-30
1.3.7 How would the project incorporate multimodal planning?	1-32
Tolls	1-33
1.4 Will I-73 be a Toll Road?	1-33
Construction	1-37
1.5 How would the road be constructed?	1-37
Summary	1-39
Chapter 2. Development of Alternatives	2-1
2.1 How were the alternatives evaluated?	2-1
2.2 What is the Agency Coordination Team?	2-1
2.3 What are the conditions of the No-build Alternative?	2-3
2.4 How were the preliminary Build Alternatives developed?	2-4



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
2.4.1 How was the public involved in developing the preliminary Build Alternatives?	2-10
2.4.2 How were the 1,896 preliminary Build Alternatives evaluated?	2-11
2.5 How were the reasonable Build Alternatives developed?	2-14
2.5.1 How was the public involved in developing the reasonable Build Alternatives?	2-14
2.5.2 How were the Reasonable Build Alternatives designated?	2-16
2.5.3 How were the preliminary interchange locations designated?	2-22
2.5.4 What modifications were made to the reasonable Build Alternatives based on input?	2-22
2.5.4.1 <i>Alternative 1</i>	2-23
2.5.4.2 <i>Alternative 2</i>	2-26
2.5.4.3 <i>Alternative 3</i>	2-28
2.6 How were the three reasonable Build Alternatives evaluated to designate the Preferred Alternative?	2-29
2.6.1 How would the reasonable Build Alternatives meet the primary needs of the project?	2-32
2.6.1.1 <i>How do the reasonable Build Alternatives meet the primary need of system linkage?</i>	2-32
2.6.1.2 <i>How do the reasonable Build Alternatives meet the primary need of economic development?</i>	2-33
2.6.2 How would the reasonable Build Alternatives meet the secondary needs of the project?	2-37
2.6.2.1 <i>How would the reasonable Build Alternatives improve access for tourism?</i>	2-37
2.6.2.2 <i>How would the reasonable Build Alternatives incorporate multimodal planning?</i>	2-38
2.6.3 How were the reasonable Build Alternatives compared in terms of human and environmental impacts?	2-39



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter and Section

Page

2.6.4	How have the USACE Public Interest Review Factors been addressed?	2-40
2.6.5	How would the reasonable Build Alternatives compare in terms of human and environmental impacts?	2-41
2.6.5.1	<i>Alternative 1</i>	2-42
2.6.5.2	<i>Alternative 2</i>	2-43
2.6.5.3	<i>Alternative 3</i>	2-44
2.6.6	Which reasonable Build Alternative was designated as the Preferred Alternative?	2-45
2.7	What happened after the designation of the Preferred Alternative	2-46
2.7.1	How was the public involved after the designation of the Preferred Alternative?	2-46
2.7.2	What modifications were made to the Preferred Alternative based on Public input?	2-47
2.7.2.1	<i>Fire Tower Road Overpass</i>	2-47
2.7.2.2	<i>McKinnon Farm Road Shift</i>	2-47
2.7.2.3	<i>Beauty Spot Motor Court Office Building Shifts</i>	2-47
2.7.2.4	<i>Beauty Spot Road Relocation</i>	2-51
2.7.2.5	<i>Family Farm Road Overpass</i>	2-51
2.7.2.6	<i>Newtonville Shift</i>	2-52
2.7.2.7	<i>Ghio Road Shift</i>	2-54
2.7.3	What is the estimated cost of the Preferred Alternative?	2-59

Chapter 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences **3-1**

Human Environment **3-1**

3.1	Land Use	3-1
3.1.1	What is the existing land use in the project study area?	3-1
3.1.2	What are the natural land areas in the project study area?	3-2
3.1.3	What is the agricultural land use in the project study area?	3-2



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.1.4 What are the residential land uses in the project study area?	3-2
3.1.5 What are the commercial land uses in the project study area?	3-3
3.1.5.1 <i>Hotel/Motel</i>	3-4
3.1.5.2 <i>Industrial</i>	3-4
3.1.5.3 <i>Institutional</i>	3-5
3.1.5.4 <i>Public & Semi-Public</i>	3-6
3.1.5.5 <i>Schools</i>	3-6
3.1.6 Do the affected counties have plans for development and future growth?	3-7
3.1.6.1 <i>Dillon County</i>	3-7
3.1.6.2 <i>Marlboro County</i>	3-8
3.1.6.3 <i>Richmond County</i>	3-8
3.1.7 What are the trends and key indicators of future land use in the four-county area?	3-9
3.1.8 How is land use expected to change in the project study area?	3-10
3.1.9 How would the No-build Alternative affect land development?	3-10
3.1.9.1 <i>How would overall growth be impacted by the No-build Alternative?</i>	3-11
3.1.9.2 <i>How would the No-build Alternative impact development in Dillon County?</i>	3-12
3.1.9.3 <i>How would development in Marlboro County be impacted by the No-Build Alternative?</i>	3-15
3.1.9.4 <i>How would No-build Alternative influence development in Richmond County?</i>	3-16
3.1.10 How would the Preferred Alternatives impact development in the four-county area?	3-16
3.1.10.1 <i>Would land used for right-of-way be lost for development?</i>	3-16
3.1.10.2 <i>How would development shift as a result of I-73?</i>	3-16



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.1.10.3 <i>Would additional new development occur in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?</i>	3-17
3.1.11 How were land use impacts resulting from the Preferred Alternative determined?	3-18
3.1.11.1 <i>How does the proximity to an I-73 interchange affect development?</i>	3-18
3.1.11.2 <i>How does proximity to an existing urban area influence development?</i>	3-18
3.1.11.3 <i>How does proximity to I-95 and/or I-74 impact development?</i>	3-19
3.1.11.4 <i>Do infrastructure and availability of land influence development?</i>	3-20
3.1.11.5 <i>How do new employment opportunities influence development?</i>	3-20
3.1.11.6 <i>Does site suitability play a role in influencing development?</i>	3-20
3.1.11.7 <i>How would development in Dillon County be impacted by the Preferred Alternative?</i>	3-21
3.1.11.8 <i>How would the Preferred Alternative impact development in Marlboro County?</i>	3-22
3.1.11.9 <i>How would development in Richmond County be impacted by the Preferred Alternative?</i>	3-24
3.1.12 What other factors influence growth and development?	3-24
3.1.13 Conclusion	3-25
3.2 Communities	3-26
3.2.1 How many communities compose the project study area?	3-26
3.2.2 What is a community impact assessment?	3-26
3.2.3 How were communities identified within the project study area?	3-27
Dillon County	3-31



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.2.4 What are the characteristics of Dillon County?	3-31
3.2.5 How would Dillon County be impacted by the proposed project?	3-33
3.2.6 What are the characteristics of communities located within Dillon County and how would they be impacted by the proposed project?	3-34
3.2.6.1 <i>Bingham</i>	3-34
3.2.6.2 <i>Minturn</i>	3-36
Marlboro County	3-38
3.2.7 What are the characteristics of Marlboro County?	3-38
3.2.8 How would Marlboro County be impacted by the proposed project?	3-40
3.2.9 What are the Characteristics of Cities and Towns located in Marlboro County and how would they be impacted by the Preferred Alternative?	3-41
3.2.9.1 <i>Bennettsville</i>	3-41
3.2.9.2 <i>Blenheim</i>	3-46
3.2.9.3 <i>Clio</i>	3-49
3.2.9.4 <i>McColl</i>	3-52
3.2.9.5 <i>Tatum</i>	3-55
3.2.10 What are the characteristics of neighborhoods and communities located within Marlboro County and how would they be impacted by the proposed project?	3-57
3.2.10.1 <i>Adamsville</i>	3-58
3.2.10.2 <i>Brightsville</i>	3-61
3.2.10.3 <i>Chavistown</i>	3-64
3.2.10.4 <i>Dunbar</i>	3-66
3.2.10.5 <i>Hebron</i>	3-69
3.2.10.6 <i>Lester</i>	3-72
3.2.10.7 <i>Newtonville</i>	3-74
Richmond County, North Carolina	3-77
3.2.11 What are the characteristics of Richmond County?	3-77



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter and Section

Page

3.2.12 How would Richmond County be impacted by the proposed project?

3-78

3.2.13 What are the characteristics of Hamlet and how would it be impacted?

3-79

Scotland County, North Carolina

3-82

3.2.14 What are the characteristics of Scotland County?

3-82

3.2.15 How would Scotland County be impacted by the proposed project?

3-83

3.2.16 How many residences and businesses would be relocated within the project study area and how will relocations be addressed?

3-86

Considerations for Bicyclists and Pedestrians

3-87

3.2.17 What considerations have been analyzed relating to pedestrians and bicyclists?

3-87

3.3 Environmental Justice

3-89

3.3.1 What is Environmental Justice?

3-89

3.3.2 Are there minority populations in the project study area?

3-91

3.3.3 Are there low-income populations in the project study area?

3-91

3.3.4 How were potential environmental justice impacts evaluated?

3-92

3.3.5 Would any minority and low-income populations be impacted?

3-95

3.3.6 What other methods were used to consider impacts to environmental justice populations in the project study area?

3-96

3.3.6.1 Relocations

3-97

3.3.6.2 Community Cohesion

3-98

3.3.6.3 Economic Impacts

3-99

3.3.6.4 Access and Mobility

3-99

3.3.6.5 Noise

3-99

3.3.6.6 Visual and Aesthetic Character

3-99



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.3.6.7 <i>Parks and Community Facilities</i>	3-100
3.3.7 What efforts have been made to ensure full and fair participation of environmental justice populations in the transportation decision-making process?	3-100
3.3.8 Summary	3-101
3.4 Section 4(f) Resources	3-101
3.4.1 What is Section 4(f)?	3-101
3.4.2 What parks, recreational facilities, and wildlife/waterfowl refuges are found in the project study area?	3-102
3.4.3 Would the Preferred Alternative impact Section 4(f) parks or recreational facilities?	3-103
3.5 Section 6(f) Resources	3-103
What are Section 6(f) Resources and would any be impacted by the project?	3-103
3.6 Historic Resources	3-104
3.6.1 What are historic resources?	3-104
3.6.2 How was the historic resources survey conducted?	3-105
3.6.3 What above-ground historic resources were found during the survey?	3-105
3.6.4 What would be the potential impacts to historic resources?	3-106
3.6.5 What known archaeological resources are within the project study area?	3-109
3.6.6 What are the potential impacts to historic resources under Section 4(f)?	3-111
3.7 Hazardous Materials	3-111
3.7.1 What is a hazardous material?	3-111
3.7.2 Are there any potentially contaminated sites located within the project study area?	3-112
3.7.3 Would the Preferred Alternative impact potentially contaminated sites in the project study area?	3-113



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.7.3.1 <i>What listed hazardous materials and waste sites may be potentially impacted by the Preferred Alternative?</i>	3-113
3.7.3.2 <i>What other potential hazardous materials and waste sites were identified that could be impacted by the Preferred Alternative?</i>	3-113
3.8 Noise	3-114
3.8.1 What is noise?	3-114
3.8.2 How are noise impacts estimated?	3-114
3.8.3 How was background noise determined in the project study area?	3-116
3.8.4 How was TNM tested to ensure accuracy?	3-116
3.8.5 What are the anticipated noise impacts for the Preferred Alternative?	3-118
3.8.6 What happens when impacts occur and can impacts be mitigated?	3-122
3.8.6.1 <i>No-build Alternative</i>	3-122
3.8.6.2 <i>Highway Alignment</i>	3-123
3.8.6.3 <i>Traffic System Management Measures</i>	3-123
3.8.6.4 <i>Noise Barriers</i>	3-123
3.9 Air Quality	3-124
3.9.1 How is air quality measured?	3-124
3.9.2 What are the potential air quality issues associated with a transportation project?	3-125
3.9.3 Would air quality be impacted by the Preferred Alternative?	3-133
3.9.4 Would climate change be affected by the proposed project?	3-135
Natural Environment	3-137
3.10 Farmlands	3-137
3.10.1 Why is farmland an important consideration?	3-137
3.10.2 How is farmland protected?	3-139
3.10.3 What are the different types of protected farmland?	3-139



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.10.4 What are the types and the amounts of farmland in the project study area?	3-140
3.10.5 What types of soils are the project study area?	3-140
3.10.6 What are the typical farm sizes in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties?	3-143
3.10.7 What methodology was used to determine farmland impacts?	3-143
3.10.8 How would the No-Build Alternatives directly impact farmlands?	3-145
3.10.9 How would the Preferred Alternative directly impact farmlands?	3-145
3.10.10 What would be the potential indirect and cumulative impacts on farmland?	3-148
<i>3.10.10.1 How would development that is expected to occur with the No-build Alternative impact farmlands?</i>	3-148
<i>3.10.10.2 What would be the potential impacts from induced development on farmland by the Preferred Alternative?</i>	3-148
<i>3.10.10.3 What would be the potential cumulative impacts on farmland from the Preferred Alternative?</i>	3-149
3.10.11 What Federal/ USDA farmland programs are active or found in the project study area and how would they be impacted by the Preferred Alternative?	3-150
<i>3.10.11.1 Conservation Reserve Program</i>	3-151
<i>3.10.11.2 Farm and Ranch Lands Protection Program</i>	3-153
<i>3.10.11.3 Wetlands Reserve Program</i>	3-153
3.11 Uplands	3-153
3.11.1 What are uplands?	3-153
3.11.2 Why are uplands important?	3-154
3.11.3 How were uplands identified in the Preferred Alternative study corridor?	3-154
3.11.4 What upland natural community types were identified within the Preferred Alternative study corridor?	3-155



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter and Section

Page

3.11.4.1	<i>Mesic mixed hardwood forest</i>	3-155
3.11.4.2	<i>Oak-hickory forest (Dry or Dry-mesic oak-hickory forest)</i>	3-156
3.11.4.3	<i>Pine flatwoods (Mesic pine flatwoods)</i>	3-156
3.11.4.4	<i>Upland pine-wiregrass woodland</i>	3-157
3.11.4.5	<i>Agricultural fields and timberlands</i>	3-158
3.11.4.6	<i>Developed areas</i>	3-158
3.11.5	How would upland communities be impacted?	3-159
3.11.6	What indirect and cumulative impacts to uplands could occur as the result of the project?	3-160
3.12	Wetlands	3-160
3.12.1	What are wetlands?	3-160
3.12.2	Why are wetlands important?	3-161
3.12.3	How were wetlands identified within the Preferred Alternative study corridor?	3-162
3.12.4	What wetland types were identified in the Preferred Alternative study corridor?	3-164
3.12.4.1	<i>Aquatic beds</i>	3-165
3.12.4.2	<i>Bottomland hardwoods</i>	3-166
3.12.4.3	<i>Deciduous shrub swamp</i>	3-166
3.12.4.4	<i>Freshwater marsh</i>	3-167
3.12.4.5	<i>Ponds and borrow pits</i>	3-168
3.12.4.6	<i>Rivers and canals</i>	3-168
3.12.4.7	<i>Streamhead pocosin</i>	3-169
3.12.4.8	<i>Wooded swamp</i>	3-169
3.12.5	What kind of impacts would occur in wetlands as a result of the proposed project?	3-170
3.12.6	How were the potential wetland impacts calculated?	3-170
3.12.7	How many acres of wetlands would be impacted by the proposed project?	3-171
3.12.8	What other impacts could occur from construction?	3-173



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.12.9 What kind of and how much impact would occur in streams as a result of this project?	3-174
3.12.10 What indirect impacts to wetlands and streams would occur as the result of the project?	3-177
3.12.11 What would cumulative impacts be to wetlands and streams in the project study area?	3-178
3.12.12 What is mitigation?	3-180
3.12.13 What was done to avoid and minimize wetland and stream impacts?	3-181
3.12.13.1 <i>Avoidance</i>	3-181
3.12.13.2 <i>Minimization</i>	3-182
3.12.14 How will compensation be determined for wetland and stream impacts?	3-184
3.13 Invasive Species	3-188
3.13.1 What are invasive species?	3-188
3.13.2 What is FHWA policy on invasive species?	3-188
3.13.3 What are FHWA recommendations regarding invasive species?	3-189
3.13.4 What invasive plant species occur within the project study area?	3-190
3.13.5 How do invasive plant species affect the environment?	3-190
3.13.6 How could actions from the proposed project create impacts from invasive plant species?	3-192
3.13.7 What measures have been successful in preventing and/or controlling the spread of invasive plant species?	3-193
3.14 Wildlife	3-193
3.14.1 What types of wildlife and wildlife habitat are found in the Preferred Alternative study corridor?	3-193
3.14.2 What species are typically found in upland habitats within the Preferred Alternative study corridor?	3-195
3.14.2.1 <i>Mesic mixed hardwood forests</i>	3-195
3.14.2.2 <i>Oak-hickory forest</i>	3-196



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter and Section

Page

3.14.2.3	<i>Pine flatwoods</i>	3-196
3.14.2.4	<i>Upland pine-wiregrass woodland</i>	3-197
3.14.2.5	<i>Disturbed areas</i>	3-198
3.14.3	What species are typically found in wetland habitats within the Preferred Alternative study corridor?	3-199
3.14.3.1	<i>Aquatic beds</i>	3-199
3.14.3.2	<i>Bottomland hardwoods</i>	3-200
3.14.3.3	<i>Deciduous shrub swamp</i>	3-201
3.14.3.4	<i>Freshwater marsh</i>	3-201
3.14.3.5	<i>Ponds and borrow pits</i>	3-202
3.14.3.6	<i>Rivers and canals</i>	3-203
3.14.3.7	<i>Streamhead pocosins</i>	3-204
3.14.3.8	<i>Wooded swamp</i>	3-205
3.14.4	How would wildlife and their habitat be impacted by the proposed project?	3-206
3.14.5	What has been done to minimize impacts to wildlife?	3-209
3.14.6	What indirect and cumulative impacts could occur to wildlife?	3-209
3.15	Protected Species	3-212
3.15.1	What are protected species?	3-212
3.15.2	What has been done to avoid impacts to federally protected species on this project?	3-213
3.15.3	What is the Endangered Species Act and how would species protected under this Act be impacted by the Preferred Alternative?	3-213
3.15.3.1	<i>What Threatened and Endangered Species may occur within the Preferred Alternative study corridor?</i>	3-214
3.15.3.2	<i>How could Threatened and Endangered Species be affected by the proposed project?</i>	3-214
3.15.4	What is the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, and how would species protected under this Act be impacted by the Preferred Alternative?	3-222



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.15.5 What is the Migratory Bird Treaty Act?	3-223
3.15.5.1 <i>What are migratory birds, and which ones may potentially occur within the project study area?</i>	3-224
3.15.5.2 <i>What are the potential impacts of roadways to migratory birds?</i>	3-226
3.15.6 What is the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act?	3-226
3.15.6.1 <i>What is EFH and HAPC?</i>	3-226
3.15.6.2 <i>What EFH may occur within the Preferred Alternative study corridor and what direct impacts would occur to it as a result of this project?</i>	3-227
3.15.7 What indirect and cumulative impacts may occur to federally protected species?	3-228
3.15.8 What are State Species of Concern, and which ones may occur within the project study area?	3-230
3.16 Groundwater Resources	3-238
3.16.1 What are the groundwater resources in the project study area?	3-238
3.16.2 How would groundwater resources be impacted by the proposed project?	3-241
3.17 Surface Water Resources	3-242
3.17.1 What drainage basin is the proposed project located within?	3-242
3.17.2 What surface waters are located in the project study area?	3-242
3.17.3 What drinking water sources are in the project study area?	3-244
3.17.4 How is surface water quality evaluated?	3-245
3.17.5 What are the surface water quality conditions in the watershed units crossed by the Preferred Alternative?	3-250
3.17.5.1 <i>Watershed Units which Drain into the Great Pee Dee River</i>	3-251



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter and Section

Page

3.17.5.2	<i>Watershed Units which Drain into the Little Pee Dee River</i>	3-252
3.17.6	What are the potential impacts to water quality?	3-253
3.17.6.1	<i>How much pollutant would runoff into streams due to the No-build and the Preferred Alternatives?</i>	3-253
3.17.6.2	<i>How would the No-build Alternative impact water quality in the project study area?</i>	3-254
3.17.6.3	<i>How would the Preferred Alternative impact water quality in the project study area?</i>	3-255
3.17.7	What best management practices and measures to minimize the amount of runoff pollution into streams could be used?	3-260
3.17.8	How would water quality impacts be minimized during construction?	3-260
3.17.9	What are the cumulative impacts to water quality?	3-261
3.18	Floodplain	3-262
3.18.1	What is a floodplain?	3-262
3.18.2	What agencies regulate floodplains?	3-263
3.18.3	How were the floodplain boundaries determined for this study?	3-263
3.18.4	What floodplains are located within the project study area?	3-263
3.18.5	What direct impacts would there be to floodplains?	3-266
3.19	Wild and Scenic Rivers	3-267
3.20	Resources Affected Uniformly	3-268
3.20.1	How would coastal resources be affected?	3-268
3.20.1.1	<i>Coastal Zone Resources</i>	3-268
3.20.1.2	<i>Coastal Barrier Resources</i>	3-269
3.20.2	How would energy be consumed by the project?	3-269
3.20.2.1	<i>Energy consumption during construction</i>	3-269
3.20.2.2	<i>Energy consumption during the operation of the facility</i>	3-270



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.20.2.3 <i>Energy conservation potential of the project</i>	3-270
3.20.2.4 <i>Estimated statewide energy consumption savings with the Build Alternatives</i>	3-270
3.21 Permits	3-271
What Permits would be necessary to construct the proposed project?	3-271
3.21.1 <i>Section 404 of the Clean Water Act</i>	3-271
3.21.2 <i>Section 401 Water Quality</i>	3-271
3.21.3 <i>Section 402 of the Clean Water Act</i>	3-271
3.21.4 <i>Stormwater Management and Sediment Reduction Act of 1991</i>	3-272
3.22 Short-term Uses Versus Long-term Productivity	3-272
Chapter 4. Public Involvement and Agency Coordination	4-1
Public Involvement	4-1
4.1 How was the public engaged in the project?	4-1
4.1.1 What happened at the Public Scoping Meetings?	4-2
4.1.2 What took place at the Public Information Meetings?	4-3
4.1.3 What occurred at the Public Hearings?	4-4
4.2 How did the FHWA and SCDOT reach out to communities?	4-6
4.3 What other meetings took place?	4-7
4.3.1 Community Information Meetings	4-7
4.3.2 Other Meetings	4-8
4.4 What other forms of information were available to the public?	4-8
4.5 How were local governments and leadership involved?	4-10
Agency Involvement and Coordination	4-12
4.6 What is the Agency Coordination Team?	4-13
4.7 How did the ACT contribute to the project?	4-14



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.8	Were there any meetings with agencies prior to the formation of the ACT? 4-14
4.9	Who participated in the ACT meetings and contributed to the project? 4-14
4.10	When did the ACT meetings occur and what happened at the meetings? 4-15
4.10.1	October 19, 2005 ACT Meeting 4-17
4.10.2	December 15, 2005 ACT Meeting 4-17
4.10.3	January 10, 2006 CAT Workshop 4-17
4.10.4	January 19, 2006 ACT Meeting 4-18
4.10.5	February 10, 2006 Meeting with SCDHEC-OCRM 4-18
4.10.6	February 23, 2006 Meeting with SCDAH 4-18
4.10.7	March 2, 2006 ACT Meeting 4-18
4.10.8	March 14, 2006 Meeting with SCDAH 4-19
4.10.9	April 19, 2006 ACT Meeting 4-19
4.10.10	July 13, 2006 ACT Meeting 4-19
4.10.11	August 30, 2006 ACT Meeting 4-19
4.10.12	September 13-14, 2006 Field Trip 4-20
4.10.13	September 28, 2006 ACT Meeting 4-20
4.10.14	November 2, 2006 ACT Meeting 4-20
4.10.15	January 18, 2007 ACT Meeting 4-21
4.10.16	February 22, 2007 ACT Meeting 4-21
4.10.17	May 9, 2007 ACT Meeting 4-22
4.10.18	December 12, 2007 ACT Meeting 4-22
4.10.19	May 2, 2008 Meeting with SCDAH 4-22
4.11	How were the North Carolina agencies involved in the project? 4-23
4.11.1	October 13, 2005 Meeting 4-23
4.11.2	February 23, 2006 Meeting 4-23
4.11.3	July 20, 2006 Meeting 4-23
4.11.4	December 6, 2006 Meeting 4-23



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Chapter and Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.11.5 June 14, 2007 Meeting	4-24
4.11.6 November 15, 2007 Meeting	4-24
Stakeholder Working Group Involvement	4-24
4.12 What was the Stakeholder Working Group?	4-24
4.13 What happened at the Stakeholder Working Group Meetings?	4-24
Tribal Involvement	4-25
4.14 How was Tribal Consultation handled for this project?	4-25
DEIS Comment Letters	4-27
Chapter 5. List of Preparers	5-1
5.1 Federal Highway Administration	5-1
5.2 South Carolina Department of Transportation	5-1
5.3 North Carolina Department of Transportation	5-2
5.4 The LPA Group, Incorporated	5-2
5.5 Wilbur Smith Associates	5-4
5.6 Adobe Palm Communications, LLC.	5-5
5.7 Brockington and Associates, Inc.	5-5
5.8 Civil Engineering Consulting Services, Inc.	5-5
5.9 Environmental Planning Strategies, Inc.	5-6
5.10 The Grant Group, Inc.	5-6
5.11 OLH International	5-6
5.12 P.J. Noble & Associates, Inc.	5-6
5.13 Press and Potter, LLC	5-6
List of Acronyms	A-1
Index	i-1
Appendices	
A Agency Letters	
B Corridor Analysis Tool	
C Environmental Consequences for Reasonable Alternatives	
D Resolutions and Local Government Letters	



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter and Section

Page

E	Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation	
F	Conceptual Relocation Study	
G	MSAT Guidance	
H	Farmland Conversion Impact Rating For Corridor Type Projects (NRCS-CPA-106) Forms	
I	Comprehensive List of Wildlife Species	
J	Tribal Consultation Correspondence	



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Tables</u>	<u>Page</u>
Executive Summary	
S.1 Preferred Alternative Impact Matrix	S-5
Chapter 1. Purpose and Need for Action	
1.1 Project Study Area Population Growth	1-15
1.2 Project Study Area Population Forecasts, 2000-2030 Population Forecasts, In Thousands	1-16
1.3 Demographic Composition of Communities in the Project Study Area	1-17
1.4 Demographic Characteristics of Counties in the Project Study Area	1-18
1.5 Housing Characteristics of Counties in the Project Study Area	1-19
1.6 Project Study Area Educational Attainment Levels	1-20
1.7 Project Study Area Job Training/ Adult Education Options	1-21
1.8 Top Twenty Employers in Dillon and Marlboro Counties	1-24
1.9 Top Twenty Employers in Richmond and Scotland Counties	1-25
1.10 2030 Estimated Reduction in Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes	1-31
Chapter 2. Development of Alternatives	
2.1 Alternative Evaluation Categories	2-2
2.2 Agencies Contacted Regarding GIS Data	2-6
2.3 Available GIS Layers for CAT Program	2-7
2.4 Six Preliminary Alternatives Matrix	2-18
2.5 Alternatives Considered by the ACT	2-22
2.6 Three Reasonable Alternatives Matrix	2-31
2.7 Minimum Trip Time Between I-95 and U.S. Route 74 in Year 2030	2-33
2.8 Economic Impact Summary in 2035 from Travel Efficiencies (Alternatives Compared to No-build)	2-33
2.9 Strategic Development GRP Impact from 2015-2033 (Alternatives compared to No-build)	2-35
2.10 Strategic Development Employment Increases by Alternative and County (Permanent Full-Time Jobs)	2-36
2.11 Strategic Development Annual Income Increases by Alternative and County (Millions of Dollars)	2-36
2.12 Economic Impact Summary in 2030 by Alternative	2-37
2.13 Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) and Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT) in Network for Alternatives using Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes (Year 2030)	2-38



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Tables</u>		<u>Page</u>
2.14	Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) and Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT) in Network for Alternatives using Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes with I-73 Traffic Removed (Year 2030)	2-39
2.15	USACE Public Interest Review Factors	2-41
2.16	Total Estimated Cost for Alternative 2	2-59
2.17	Preferred Alternative Impact Matrix	2-60

Chapter 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

3.1	Projected Population Growth by County, 2000 to 2030	3-10
3.2	No-build Alternative Summary of Land Use Requirements in Acres	3-12
3.3	No-build Alternative, Detailed Land Use Requirements in Acres	3-15
3.4	Proposed I-73 Interchanges along the Preferred Alternative	3-19
3.5	Total Shift in Anticipated Development Resulting from the Preferred Alternative by Census Tract (in acres of new development)	3-21
3.6	Anticipated Development Resulting from the Preferred Alternative by Census Tract (in acres of new development)	3-22
3.7	Total Growth by 2030 including Impact of Preferred Alternative by Census Tract (in acres of new development)	3-23
3.8	Summary of Direct Impacts to Communities in the Project Study Area by the Preferred Alternative	3-28
3.9	Demographic Characteristics of Communities in Project Study Area	3-32
3.10	Economic Characteristics of Communities in Project Study Area	3-33
3.11	Churches in Bennettsville	3-43
3.12	Projected 2030 Development within Marlboro County Communities, in acres	3-46
3.13	Summary of Direct Impacts by the Preferred Alternative to Communities in Project Study Area	3-84
3.14	Summary of Relocations due to the Preferred Alternative	3-86
3.15	2000 USHHS Poverty Thresholds	3-90
3.16	Minority Population, 2000	3-92
3.17	Low-income Population, 2000	3-94
3.18	Total Number of Block Groups with EJ Populations	3-94
3.19	Block Groups Impacted by the Preferred Alternative	3-96
3.20	Community and Block Group Relocations	3-98
3.21	Public Recreational Facilities, Parks, and Wildlife/Waterfowl Refuges Located in Project Study Area	3-103
3.22	Historic Resources within One mile of the Preferred Alternative	3-106



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Tables</u>		<u>Page</u>
3.23	Summary of Hazardous Material Sites Identified within the Project Study Area	3-112
3.24	Common Noises and dBA Levels	3-115
3.25	FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria	3-116
3.26	Ambient Noise Levels	3-117
3.27	Noise Model Vehicular Data	3-118
3.28	Approximate Distance to NAC Contours For Existing, Future No-Build, Future Build, in feet	3-119
3.29	Approximate Distance to NAC Contour for the Preferred Alternative (in feet)	3-120
3.30	Noise Impacts Based on GIS Analysis	3-120
3.31	Noise Abatement Analysis	3-124
3.32	Criteria Pollutants Measured Under the NAAQS	3-126
3.33	Top Crops Grown in Dillon, Marlboro, Richmond, and Scotland Counties in 2002, in acres	3-138
3.34	Summary of Prime and Statewide Importance Farmland Soils in the Project Study Area	3-140
3.35	Prime Farmland Soil Types in Project Study Area, by County	3-141
3.36	Types of Farmland Soils of Statewide Importance in Project Study Area, by County	3-142
3.37	Agriculture Land Use in Four-county Area in 2002	3-144
3.38	NRCS Farmland Conversion Evaluation Point Total for the Preferred Alternative	3-145
3.39	Direct Impacts to Prime Total and Statewide Important Total Soils, in Acres	3-146
3.40	Divided Farmland Parcels in the Project Study Area by the Preferred Alternative, in Acres	3-147
3.41	Indirect and Cumulative Impacts to Prime & Statewide Important Farmland Soils in the Project Study Area by the Preferred Alternative, in Acres	3-149
3.42	Land Enrolled in Federal Conservation Programs by Acres	3-151
3.43	Impacts to Land in the Conservation Reserve Program by the Preferred Alternative	3-151
3.44	Forested Upland Community Impacts, in Acres	3-159
3.45	Potential Indirect Forested Upland Impacts (in acres)	3-161
3.46	Potential Wetland Direct Impacts by the Preferred Alternative, in Acres and Wetland Values	3-172
3.47	Potential Borrow Pit Areas by Land Use Type	3-174
3.48	Existing Stream/Riparian Wetland Crossings	3-175



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Tables</u>		<u>Page</u>
3.49	Potential Direct Stream Impacts from the Preferred Alternative	3-176
3.50	Potential Indirect Wetland Acres and Stream Impacts	3-177
3.51	Potential Cumulative I-73 Wetland Impacts Relative to Project Study Area Wetlands, in Acres	3-179
3.52	Undisturbed Upland and Wetland Habitats in Project Study Area	3-194
3.53	Potential Wildlife Habitat Impacts, in Acres	3-207
3.54	Relative Roadway Effects on Habitat	3-208
3.55	Federally protected Species in Dillon County and Marlboro County, South Carolina, and Richmond County and Scotland County, North Carolina	3-215
3.56	Migratory Bird Species Potentially Occurring Within the Project Study Area	3-225
3.57	State Listed Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species Known to Occur in Dillon County and Marlboro County, South Carolina, and Richmond County and Scotland County, North Carolina	3-231
3.58	Sub-basins and Watershed Units Crossed by Preferred Alternative	3-244
3.59	Water Service Providers in the Project Study Area	3-245
3.60	SCDHEC's Use Support Determination System	3-246
3.61	NCDENR's Use Support Determination System for Class C Waters	3-247
3.62	303(d) List of Impaired Streams within Project Study Area	3-248
3.63	Pollutant Discharge, in Pounds per Twenty-day Buildup Period	3-255
3.64	Streams/Ditches Impacted by Predicted Development in the Project Study Area	3-256
3.65	Streams/Ditches Crossings by Preferred Alternative	3-257
3.66	Anticipated Amount of New Impervious Surfaces by Induced Development in the Project Study Area (in acres)	3-258
3.67	National Flood Insurance Program Regulated Floodplains Located Within the Project Study Area	3-264
3.68	Floodplain Crossings Locations and Acres Encroached Upon by the Preferred Alternative	3-266
 Chapter 4. Public Involvement and Agency Coordination		
4.1	Community Information Meetings	4-7
4.2	Other Public Meetings	4-9
4.3	Summary of Letters and Resolutions Received for Proposed Project	4-11
4.4	Agency Coordination Team Members	4-15
4.5	ACT Meetings and Attendance for Northern I-73 Project	4-16
4.6	Tribal Consultation Process	4-26



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figures

Page

Chapter 1. Purpose and Need for Action

<i>1-1</i>	<i>Interstate Corridor</i>	<i>1-1</i>
<i>1-2</i>	<i>I-73 Project Study Area</i>	<i>1-3</i>
<i>1-3</i>	<i>I-73 Interim 4 Lanes</i>	<i>1-5</i>
<i>1-4</i>	<i>I-73 Ultimate 6 Lanes</i>	<i>1-6</i>
<i>1-5</i>	<i>Project Study Area Counties</i>	<i>1-13</i>
<i>1-6</i>	<i>Population Density of the Project Study Area</i>	<i>1-14</i>
<i>1-7</i>	<i>Median Household Income of the Project Study Area</i>	<i>1-18</i>
<i>1-8</i>	<i>Percent Unemployed within Project Study Area</i>	<i>1-26</i>
<i>1-9</i>	<i>Population Below Poverty Level</i>	<i>1-27</i>

Chapter 2. Development of Alternatives

<i>2-1</i>	<i>Suitability Layer</i>	<i>2-9</i>
<i>2-2</i>	<i>Preliminary Build Segments</i>	<i>2-12</i>
<i>2-3</i>	<i>Six Preliminary Build Alternatives</i>	<i>2-15</i>
<i>2-4</i>	<i>Potential Interchange Design for Preliminary Build Alternatives 1 and 2</i>	<i>2-19</i>
<i>2-5</i>	<i>Potential Interchange Design for Preliminary Build Alternative 6</i>	<i>2-20</i>
<i>2-6</i>	<i>Potential Interchange Design for Preliminary Build Alternatives 3, 4, and 5</i>	<i>2-21</i>
<i>2-7</i>	<i>Reasonable Build Alternative 1</i>	<i>2-24</i>
<i>2-8</i>	<i>Reasonable Build (Preferred) Alternative 2</i>	<i>2-27</i>
<i>2-9</i>	<i>Reasonable Build Alternative 3</i>	<i>2-30</i>
<i>2-10</i>	<i>Alternative 1</i>	<i>2-42</i>
<i>2-11</i>	<i>Alternative 2</i>	<i>2-43</i>
<i>2-12</i>	<i>Alternative 3</i>	<i>2-44</i>
<i>2-13</i>	<i>Fire Tower Road Overpass</i>	<i>2-48</i>
<i>2-14</i>	<i>McKinnon Farm Road Shift</i>	<i>2-49</i>
<i>2-15</i>	<i>Beauty Spot Motor Court Office Building Shifts</i>	<i>2-50</i>
<i>2-16</i>	<i>Beauty Spot Road Relocation</i>	<i>2-52</i>
<i>2-17</i>	<i>Family Farm Road Overpass</i>	<i>2-53</i>
<i>2-18</i>	<i>Newtonville Shift</i>	<i>2-55</i>
<i>2-19</i>	<i>Old Wire Road Shift</i>	<i>2-56</i>
<i>2-20</i>	<i>Newton Road Shift</i>	<i>2-57</i>
<i>2-21</i>	<i>Ghio Road Shift</i>	<i>2-58</i>
<i>2-22</i>	<i>Preferred Alternative</i>	<i>2-61</i>



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figures

Page

Chapter 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

3-1	<i>Industrial Parks within Project Study Area</i>	3-5
3-2	<i>Preferred Alternative Year 2030 Potential Land Use Change Areas</i>	3-13
3-3	<i>I-73 Census Tract Map</i>	3-14
3-4	<i>Communities Evaluated by Community Impact Assessment</i>	3-29
3-5	<i>Community Boundaries</i>	3-30
3-6	<i>Dillon County</i>	3-31
3-7	<i>Bingham</i>	3-34
3-8	<i>Minturn</i>	3-36
3-9	<i>Marlboro County</i>	3-39
3-10	<i>Bennettsville</i>	3-42
3-11	<i>Blenheim</i>	3-46
3-12	<i>Clio</i>	3-49
3-13	<i>McColl</i>	3-53
3-14	<i>Tatum</i>	3-55
3-15	<i>Adamsville</i>	3-58
3-16	<i>Brightsville</i>	3-61
3-17	<i>Chavistown</i>	3-64
3-18	<i>Dunbar</i>	3-66
3-19	<i>Hebron</i>	3-69
3-20	<i>Lester</i>	3-72
3-21	<i>Newtonville</i>	3-75
3-22	<i>Richmond County</i>	3-78
3-23	<i>Hamlet</i>	3-79
3-24	<i>Scotland County</i>	3-83
3-25	<i>Environmental Justice Block Groups Impacted by the Preferred Alternative</i>	3-93
3-26	<i>Location of Lake Paul Wallace</i>	3-102
3-27	<i>Known NRHP Sites within one mile of the Preferred Alternative</i>	3-108
3-28	<i>Location of Beauty Spot Motor Court Office Building</i>	3-107
3-29	<i>Noise Impacts</i>	3-121
3-30	<i>Land Enrolled in Conservation Reserve Program</i>	3-152
3-31	<i>Wetlands, Streams, and Floodplains</i>	3-163



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figures

		<u>Page</u>
3-32	<i>Potential Roadway Impacts to Wildlife Habitat</i>	3-210
3-33	<i>Groundwater aquifers</i>	3-239
3-34	<i>Potentially Impacted Watershed Units</i>	3-243
3-35	<i>Projects within the Pee Dee Basin</i>	3-261
3-36	<i>State Scenic Rivers in Project Study Area</i>	3-268

Charts

Chapter 1. Purpose and Need for Action

1.1	<i>Past and Projected Population: 1970-2030</i>	1-15
1.2	<i>2000 Median Household Income</i>	1-19
1.3	<i>Total Employment by County</i>	1-21
1.4	<i>Dillon County Employment by Industry</i>	1-22
1.5	<i>Marlboro County Employment by Industry</i>	1-23
1.6	<i>Richmond County Employment by Industry</i>	1-23
1.7	<i>Scotland County Employment by Industry</i>	1-23
1.8	<i>2002 to 2006 Project Study Area Unemployment Levels (by Percent)</i>	1-26
1.9	<i>Percent Below Poverty Level in 2000</i>	1-27

Chapter 3. Existing Conditions and Environmental Consequences

3.1	<i>U.S. Annual VMT vs. Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions, 2000 to 2020</i>	3-129
3.2	<i>Total acres of Farmland in Project Study Area</i>	3-144



Interstate 73 FEIS: I-95 to North Carolina



This page intentionally left blank.