

E	nvironn	nental Consequences for Reasonable Alternati	ives
Part ar	nd Sectio	<u>n Number</u>	<u>Page</u>
C.1 LA	ND USE		C-1
C.1.1	How is la	and use expected to change in the project study area?	C-1
C.1.2	How wo	uld the No-build Alternative affect land development?	C-1
	C.1.2.1	Dillon County	C-2
	C.1.2.2	Marion County	C-2
	C.1.2.3	Horry County	C-2
C.1.3	How wo	uld the project impact development in the three-county area?	C-4
	C.1.3.1	Land used for right-of-way would be lost for development	C-4
	C.1.3.2	Development that was already expected to occur in the area would drift toward the project	C-4
	C.1.3.3	Additional new development would occur in the three-county area	C-4
C.1.4	How wer	re land use impacts resulting from the project determined?	C-5
	C.1.4.1	Proximity to an I-73 Interchange	C-5
	C.1.4.2	Proximity to an Existing Urban Area	C-5
	C.1.4.3	Proximity to I-95 or the Grand Strand	C-5
	C.1.4.4	Availability of Water, Wastewater, and Land	C-5
	C.1.4.5	TAZs in Eastern Horry County Held Constant	C-6
	C.1.4.6	Dillon County	C-8
	C.1.4.7	Marion County	C-17
	C.1.4.8	Horry County	C-18
C.1.5	What oth	ner factors influence growth and development?	C-19
	C.1.5.1	Infrastructure of Interstate Industrial Parks	C-19
	C.1.5.2	Inland Port in Marion County	C-20
C.1.6	Conclusi	on	C-20
C.2 CO	MMUNI	ΓIES	C-21
C.2.1	How wor	uld the No-build Alternative affect communities in the CIA study	C-21
C.2.2	How wo	uld Dillon County be impacted?	C-21
C.2.3	How wo	uld Cities and Towns located within Dillon County be impacted?	C-21
	C.2.3.1	Dillon	C-21
	C.2.3.2	Latta	C-26



Environmental Consequ	iences for F	Reasonable	Alternatives
------------------------------	--------------	------------	--------------

Part an	Part and Section Number		<u>Page</u>	
C.2.4		ald neighborhoods and rural communities that are located within bunty be impacted?	C-29	
	C.2.4.1	Floydale	C-29	
C.2.5	How wou	ald Marion County be impacted?	C-30	
C.2.6	What are the characteristics of Cities and Towns located within Marion County and how would they be impacted?		C-30	
	C.2.6.1	Marion	C-30	
	C.2.6.2	Mullins	C-31	
C.2.7		ald neighborhoods and rural communities located within Marion e impacted?	C-33	
	C.2.7.1	Gapway	C-33	
	C.2.7.2	Pecan Pointe	C-34	
	C.2.7.3	Rains	C-35	
	C.2.7.4	Temperance Hill	C-36	
	C.2.7.5	Spring Branch	C-37	
	C.2.7.6	Zion	C-38	
C.2.8	How wou	ald Horry County be impacted?	C-39	
C.2.9	What are the characteristics of Cities and Towns located within Horry County and how would they be impacted?		C-40	
	C.2.9.1	Aynor	C-40	
C.2.10	What neighborhoods and rural communities located within Horry County and how would they be impacted?		C-42	
	C.2.10.1	Cool Spring	C-42	
	C.2.10.2	Galivants Ferry	C-43	
	C.2.10.3	Ketchuptown	C-44	
	C.2.10.4	Poplar Hill	C-45	
	C.2.10.5	Joyner/Joiner	C-45	
	C.2.10.6	Bakers Chapel	C-46	
	C.2.10.7	Dog Bluff	C-47	
	C.2.10.8	Methodist Rehobeth	C-48	
C.3 EN	VIRONMI	ENTAL JUSTICE	C-49	
C.3.1	How wou	ald minority and low-income populations be impacted by the	C-49	



E	nvironn	nental Consequences for Reasonable Alterna	tives
<u>Part ar</u>	nd Sectio	n Number	<u>Page</u>
C.3.2	What other methods were used to consider Environmental Justice populations in the CIA study area?		
	C.3.2.1	Community-based Environmental Justice Study	C-52
	C.3.2.2	Residential Displacements	C-53
	C.3.2.3	Community Cohesion	C-54
	C.3.2.4	Economic Impacts	C-54
	C.3.2.5	Access and Mobility	C-54
	C.3.2.6	Noise	C-54
	C.3.2.7	Visual and Aesthetic Character	C-55
	C.3.2.8	Parks and Community Facilities	C-55
C.3.3		Forts have been made to ensure full and fair participation of nental justice populations in the transportation decision-making	C-55
C.3.4	Summar	y	C-56
C.4 SEC	CTION 40	(f) RESOURCES	C-56
C.4.1		ne alternatives impacts and recreational facilities under Section	C-56
C.4.2	What are	e the potential impacts to historic resources under section 4(f)?	C-57
C.5 SEC	CTION 6(f) RESOURCES	C-57
C.6 HIS	STORIC F	RESOURCES	C-57
C.6.1	What wo	ould be the potential impacts to historic resources?	C-57
С.7 НА	ZARDO	US MATERIALS AND WASTE SITES	C-60
C.7.1		ne proposed alternatives impact any known potentially nated sites?	C-60
C.8 NC	OISE		C-60
C.8.1	What are	e the anticipated noise impacts for the proposed alternatives?	C-60
C.8.2	How cou	ald noise impacts be mitigated?	C-63
	C.8.2.1	Highway Alignment	C-63
	C.8.2.2	Noise Barriers	C-63



Ei	nvironm	iental Consequences for Reasonable Alternat	ives
Part an	d Section	n Number	<u>Page</u>
C.9 AII	R QUALIT	$\Gamma \mathbf{Y}$	C-65
C.9.1	Would air	r quality be impacted by the proposed project?	C-65
C.10 C0	ONSTRUC	CTION IMPACTS	C-65
C.10.1	What imp	pacts may occur to air quality during construction?	C-65
C.10.2	What nois	se impacts may occurs as a result of construction?	C-66
C.10.3	What wat	ter quality impacts may occur as a result of Construction?	C-66
C.10.4	What imp	pacts to wildlife may occur as a result of construction?	C-67
C.10.5	How wou	ald traffic be maintained during construction?	C-67
C.10.6	What are	the estimated costs of constructing the project?	C-69
C.11 PE	DESTRIA	NS AND BICYCLISTS	C-70
C.11.1	How wou project?	ald pedestrian and bicycle facilities be incorporated into the	C-70
C.12 SH	ORT-TER	RM USES VERSUS LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY	C-70
C.12.1	What are	short-term uses versus the long-term productivity of the project?	C-70
C.13 FA	RMLAND	os estados esta	C-71
C.13.1	How wou	ald the proposed project impact farmlands?	C-71
C.13.2	What would be the potential indirect and cumulative impacts on farmland?		C-73
	C.13.2.1	How would development that is expected to occur with the Nobuild Alternative impact farmlands?	C-73
	C.13.2.2	What would be the potential impacts from induced development on farmland?	C-73
	C.13.2.3	What would be the potential cumulative impacts on farmland?	C- 73
C.13.3	How would Federal/USDA farmland programs be impacted by the proposed alternatives?		C-74
	C.13.3.1	Farm and Ranch Lands Protection Program	C-75
	C.13.3.2	Wetlands Reserve Program	C-75
	C.13.3.3	Conservation Reserve Program	C-75



Environmental Consequences for Reasonable Alternatives Part and Section Number Page C-76 C.14 UPLANDS C.14.1 What impacts to upland natural community would occur? C-76 C.15 WETLANDS C-80 C.15.1 What type of impacts would occur to wetlands as a result of this project? C-80 C.15.2How were the potential wetland impact calculated? C - 80C.15.3How many acres of wetlands would be impacted by the project? C - 80C.15.4What kind of impacts would occur in steams as a result of this project? C-85 C.15.5 How much steam impact would result from the project? C-85 C.15.6 What indirect impacts to wetland and streams would occur as the result of C-87 the project? C.15.7 What would the cumulative impacts to wetlands and steams in the project C-88 study area? C.15.8 What was done to avoid and minimize wetland and steam impacts? C-91 C.15.9 C-93 How will the wetland and steam impacts be compensated? C-93 C.15.9.1 Conceptual Mitigation Plan C-95 C.16 GROUNDWATER C.16.1 How would groundwater resources by impacted by the proposed project? C-95 C.17 SURFACE WATERS C-96 C.17.1 How would watersheds in the project study area be impacted by the C-96 proposed project? C.17.2How much pollutant would run off into streams in the project study area C-96 as result of the alternatives? C.17.3How would the alternatives impact water quality? C-97 C.17.3.1 No-build Alternative C-97 C.17.3.2 C-100 Alternative 1 C.17.3.3 Alternative 2 C-102 C-104 C.17.3.4 Alternative 3 C-105 C 17 3 5 Alternative 4 C.17.3.6 Alternative 5 C-105 C 17 3 7 Alternative 6 C-106



Environmental Consequences f	or Reasonable Alternatives
rt and Section Number	<u>Pag</u>

Part an	Part and Section Number	
	C.17.3.8 Alternative 7	C-106
	C.17.3.9 Alternative 8	C-107
C.17.4	What best management practices and measures would be used to minimize the amount of runoff pollution into streams?	C-108
C.18 FL	OODPLAINS	C-108
C.18.1	What floodplains might be affected by the project?	C-108
C.18.2	How do the alternatives affect floodplains?	C-109
C.18.3	What direct impacts would there be to floodplains?	C-109
C.19 FE	DERALLY-PROTECTED SPECIES AND STATE SPECIES OF ERN	C-111
C.19.1	What are threatened and endangered species?	C-111
C.19.2	What federally-listed species are potentially found in the project study area?	C-112
C.19.3	How could federally-protected species be affected by the proposed project?	C-112
C.19.4	What would happen if a federally-protected species was affected by the proposed project?	C-113
C.19.5	What would indirect and cumulative impacts to federally-protected species by?	C-114
C.19.6	What state species of concern may be in the project study area?	C-114
C.20 W	TLDLIFE	C-114
C.20.1	What direct impacts could occur to wildlife as a result of the proposed project?	C-114
C.20.2	What indirect and cumulative impacts would occur to wildlife?	C-116
C.21 W	ILD AND SCENIC RIVERS	C-117
C.22 C	DASTAL ZONE RESOURCES	C-118
C.22.1	What direct impacts would occur to the coastal zone as result of this project?	C-118
C.22.2	What indirect and cumulative impacts are anticipated to occur to the coastal zone?	C-118



Environmental Consequences for Reasonable Alternatives Part and Section Number <u>Page</u> C.23 COASTAL BARRIERS **C-118** C.24 ENERGY **C-118** C.24.1What energy consumption would occur during construction of the C-118 C.24.2 What energy consumption would occur as a result of the operation of the C-119 project? C.24.3C-119 What is the conservation potential of the project? **C.25 PERMITS** C-120 C.25.1Section 404 of the Clean Water Act C-120 C.25.2Section 401 Water Quality C-120 C-120 C.25.3 Coastal Zone Consistency Certification C.25.4Stormwater Management and Sediment Reduction Act of 1991 C-120C.25.5Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1991 C-120 Tables Page LAND USE C-1 C.1 Projected Population Growth by County, 2000 to 2030 C.2 No-build Alternative, Summary of Land Use Requirements, by Acres C-2 C.3No-build Alternative, Detailed Land Use Requirements, in Acres C-3 C.4 Proposed I-73 Interchanges Along Each Alternative by TAZ C-6 C.5 Total Shift in Anticipated Development Results from Alternatives by TAZ C-7 (in acres of new development) C.6 Impact of I-73 Alternatives by TAZ (in acres of new development) C-8 C.7 Total Growth by 2030 Including Impact of I-73 Alternatives by TAZ (in C-17 acres of new development) **COMMUNTIES** C.8 Dillon County and Communities Projected 2030 Development by Acreage C-22 C.9 Marion County and Communities Projected 2030 Development by Acres C-32 C.10 Horry County and Communities Projected 2030 Development by Acreage C-40 **ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE** C-51 C.11 Block Groups Impacted by Alternative



Environmental Consequences for Reasonable Alternatives

<u>Table</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Page</u>
C.12	Community and Block Group Relocation	C-53
SECTI	ION 4(F) RESOURCES	
C.13	Section 4(f) Impacts to Parks and Recreational Facilities by Alternative	C-56
C.14	Potential Impacts to Historic Properties under Section 4(f)	C-57
HISTO	ORIC RESOURCES	
C.15	Potential Impacts to Historic Resources under Section 106	C-58
HAZ	ARDOUS MATERIALS AND WASTE SITES	
C.16	Hazardous Materials and Waste Sites Potentially Impacted, by Alternative	C-61
NOIS	E	
C.17	Noise Impacts Based on GIS Analysis	C-63
C.18	Noise Barrier Analysis	C-64
CONS	STRUCTION IMPACTS	
C.19	Potential Cost Per Alternative	C-69
FARM	MLANDS	
C.20	NRCS Farmland Conversion Evaluation Point Total by Alternative	C-71
C.21	Prime and Farmland of Statewide Importance Soils	C-72
C.22	Divided Farmland Parcels in the Project Study Area	C-72
C.23	Impacts from Induced Development on Prime and Farmland of Statewide Importance Soils in the Project Study Area by Alternative	C-74
C.24	Cumulative Impacts on Prime and Farmland of Statewide Importance Soils in the Project Study Area by Alternative	C-74
C.25	Impacts to Land in the Conservation Reserve Program	C-75
UPLA	NDS	
C.26	Potential Upland Community Impacts in Acres	C-76
WETI	LANDS	
C.27	Potential Wetland Impacts in Acres and Wetland Values	C-79
C.28	Potential Stream Impacts	C-86
C.29	Potential Indirect Wetland Acres and Stream Impacts	C-89
C.30	Pollutant Discharge by Pounds in Year 2030	C-97
C.31	Stream Crossings by Alternative	C-101
C.32	Impaired Stream Crossings by Alternative	C-102
C.33	Streams Impacted by Predicted Development in the Project Study Area	C-103



Appendix C Table of Contents: Environmental Consequences for Reasonable Alternatives

<u>Tables</u>		<u>Page</u>	
WETI	LANDS		
C.27	Potential Wetland Impacts in Acres and Wetland Values	C-79	
C.28	Potential Stream Impacts	C-86	
C.29	Potential Indirect Wetland Acres and Stream Impacts	C-89	
C.30	Pollutant Discharge by Pounds in Year 2030	C-97	
WAT	ER QUAILTY		
C.31	Stream Crossings by Alternative	C-101	
C.32	Impaired Stream Crossings by Alternative	C-102	
C.33	Streams Impacted by Predicted Development in the Project Study Area	C-103	
C.34	National Flood Insurance Program Regulated Floodplains Potentially Affected by I-73 Alternatives	C-109	
FLOO	DPLAINS		
C.35	Floodplain Crossings Locations and Impact Areas	C-110	
C.36	Summary of Floodplain Impacts	C-111	
WILD	LIFE		
C.37	Potential Wildlife Habitat Impacts in Acres	C-115	
C.38	Potential Indirect Wildlife Habitat Impacts in Acres	C-116	
<u>Figure</u>	<u>2S</u>	<u>Page</u>	
C-1	Alternative 1 2030 Year Potential Land Use Change Areas	C-9	
C-2	Alternative 2 2030 Year Potential Land Use Change Areas	C-10	
C-3	Alternative 3 2030 Year Potential Land Use Change Areas	C-11	
C-4	Alternative 4 2030 Year Potential Land Use Change Areas	C-12	
C-5	Alternative 5 2030 Year Potential Land Use Change Areas	C-13	
C-6	Alternative 6 2030 Year Potential Land Use Change Areas	C-14	
C-7	Alternative 7 2030 Year Potential Land Use Change Areas	C-15	
C-8	Alternative 8 2030 Year Potential Land Use Change Areas	C-16	
C-9	Communities Evaluated by Community Impact Assessment	C-23	
C-10	Community Boundaries	C-24	
C-11	Dillon Urban Cluster	C-27	
C-12	Latta	C-28	
$C_{-}13$	Floydale	C-29	



Appendix C Table of Contents: Environmental Consequences for Reasonable Alternatives

<u>Figures</u>		<u>Page</u>
C-14	Marion	C-30
C-15	Mullins	C-31
C-16	Gapway	C-33
C-17	Pecan Pointe	C-34
C-18	Rains	C-35
C-19	Temperance Hill	C-36
C-20	Spring Branch	C-38
C-21	Zion	C-38
C-22	Aynor	C-41
C-23	Cool Spring	C-42
C-24	Galivants Ferry	C-43
C-25	Ketchuptown	C-44
C-26	Poplar Hill	C-45
C-27	Joyner	C-46
C-28	Bakers Chapel	C-47
C-29	Dog Bluff	C-48
C-30	Methodist Rehobeth	C-48
C-31	Environmental Justice Block Groups Impacted by Alternative	C-50
C-32	Cultural Resources in Project Study Area	C-59
C-33	Category B Potential Noise Impacts	C-62
C-34	Wetlands, Streams, and Floodplains in Project Study Area	C-84
C-35	Potentially Impacted Watershed Units	C-99